

ESD PROTECTION DESIGN WITH TURN-ON RESTRAINING METHOD AND STRUCTURES

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

5 The present invention is directed generally to electrostatic discharge (ESD) protection circuits for input/output (I/O) devices, and more particularly, to improving ESD robustness in I/O cell libraries using novel layout techniques to implement a turn-on restraining arrangement that reduces the turn-on speed or increases the breakdown voltage of a MOS transistor.

2. Description of the Related Art

10 The ESD robustness of CMOS integrated circuits (IC) has been found to be seriously degraded due to deep-submicron CMOS technologies. To improve the ESD robustness of the output transistors, the ESD-implant process and the silicide-blocking process have been widely implemented in the deep-submicron CMOS technologies. In addition to the process modification to improve the ESD robustness of the output buffers, the symmetrical layout structure had been emphasized to
15 realize the large-dimension output transistors by ensuring the uniform turn-on phenomenon along the multiple fingers of the output transistor. To further enhance the uniform turn-on phenomenon among the multiple fingers of the output transistors, a gate-coupling design had been reported to achieve uniform ESD power distribution on large-dimension output transistors.

20 General circuit diagrams of the output cell, input cell, and I/O bi-directional cell in a cell library are shown in Figs. 1(a)-(c), respectively. In a general application, the output buffers in a cell library have different driving specifications. For instance, the output buffers in a typical library may have the different driving capabilities of e.g., 2mA, 4mA, 8mA, or 24mA. To meet these different types of current specification, different numbers of fingers in the MOS device of the cell are provided

to drive current to, or sink current from, the pad. An example of the finger numbers of the different I/O cells in a 0.35- μm cell library used to provide the driving/sinking current are shown in TABLE 1.

TABLE 1

Current	Finger Number			
	xp	xn	yp	yn
Specification				
input cell	0	0	14	14
4mA	2	1	12	13
8mA	4	2	10	12
10mA	5	3	9	11
14mA	7	4	7	10
18mA	9	5	5	9
24mA	12	6	2	8

Wherein $W/L = 35 \mu\text{m} / .5 \mu\text{m}$ for each finger, and the xp (xn) is the number of fingers in the output PMOS (NMOS) layout, which are used to generate the output current to the pad.

However, the cell layouts of the output buffers with different driving capabilities are all drawn in the same layout style and area for programmable application. To adjust different output sinking (driving) currents of the output buffer, different number of fingers of the poly gates in the output NMOS (PMOS) are connected to the ground (VDD). The general layout of the NMOS device in the output cell with the used and unused fingers is shown in Fig. 2(a). The schematic circuit diagram of the layout of Fig.2(a) is shown in Fig.2(b), where the used NMOS finger is marked as Mn1 and the unused MOS fingers are lumped as Mn2. To provide a small output current, only a poly gate (used MOS finger) is connected to the pre-buffer circuit to control the NMOS (PMOS) on or off. The other poly gates are connected to VSS (VDD) to keep them off in the layout of Fig.2(a). Such layout structure has been widely used in IC products, especially in the digital IC's.

Due to the asymmetrical connection on the poly-gate fingers of the output NMOS in the layout, the ESD turn-on phenomenon among the fingers becomes quite different even if the layout is still symmetrical. When such an I/O cell with a small output current driving ability is stressed by ESD, the used NMOS Mn1 is often turned on first due to the transient coupled voltage on its gate.

As seen in Fig.2(b), the ESD voltage applied to the pad is coupled to the gate of Mn1 and Mn2 by the parasitic drain-to-gate overlapped capacitance (see the dashed line as shown in Fig.2(b)). The coupled gate voltage is kept at the gate of Mn1 by the pre-buffer circuit, but the coupled voltage at the gate of Mn2 is conducted to VSS. Therefore, the Mn2 (with larger device dimension which is designed to protect Mn1) still remains off but the Mn1 (with a smaller device dimension) is turned on to bypass the ESD current from the pad to VSS. This generally causes a very low ESD level for the output buffer, even the output buffer has a large device dimension in total (Mn1+Mn2).

The human body model (HBM) ESD level of an I/O cell library with different driving current specification but the same layout area and layout style is shown in TABLE 2.

TABLE 2

HBM ESD Stress	2 mA Buffer	4 mA Buffer	8 mA Buffer	12 mA Buffer	24 mA Buffer
VDD (-) ND Mode	1.5 KV	2KV	2.5 KV	>2.5KV	>2.5KV
VSS (+) PS Mode	1.0KV	1.5KV	2.0 KV	>2.5 KV	>2.5KV

The test data for two worst cases of ESD-testing pin combinations under the PS-mode ESD test and ND-mode ESD test are listed in Table 2 for the I/O cells with different output current specifications. According to the data of Table 2, it is concluded that when the output cell has a higher

output current driving ability, the ESD level is also higher. However, the I/O cell with an output current of 2mA only has an ESD level of 1kV, even if the total (Mn1+Mn2) device dimension in every cell is the same. To verify the location of ESD damage on the I/O cell with a smaller output current, the ESD-stressed IC was de-layered to find the failure location.

5 The failure locations were found to locate at the Mn1 device of the I/O cell. However, the Mn2 in the same I/O cell was not damaged by the ESD stress. The detailed analysis on this failure issue is described in the paper by *H.-H. Chang, M.-D. Ker and J.-C. Wu*, “Design of dynamic-floating-gate technique for output ESD protection in deep-submicron CMOS technology,” *Solid-State Electronics*, vol. 43, pp. 375-393, Feb. 1999. This creates a challenge to provide one set of I/O
10 cells with better ESD level. Typically, the HBM ESD level of every I/O cell should be greater than 2kV under any ESD-testing pin combination.

To improve ESD level of the I/O cells with different output current driving abilities, the descriptions of the gate-coupled technologies had been reported in publications by, e.g., *C. Duvvury and R.N.Rountree*, “Output buffer with improved ESD protection,” U.S. Patent No. 4,855,620
15 (August, 1989); *C.-D. Lien*, “Electrostatic discharge protection circuit,” U.S. Patent No. 5,086,365 (February, 1992); *M.-D. Ker, C.-Y. Wu, T. Cheng, C.-N. Wu, and T.-L. Yu*, “Capacitor-couple ESD protection circuit for submicron CMOS IC,” U.S. Patent No. 5,631,793 (May, 1997); and *H.-H. Chang, M.-D. Ker, K.T. Lee, and W.-H. Huang*, “Output ESD protection using dynamic-floating-gate arrangement,” U.S. Patent No. 6,034,552 (March, 2000).

20 One of such gate-coupled designs is shown in Fig. 3 (US patent No. 5631793), where the unused Mn2 (Mp2) in the I/O cell with small output current driving ability is connected to VSS (VDD) through the additional resistor Rw2 (Rw1). An additional capacitor Cn (Cp) is added and

connected from the pad to the gate of Mn2 (Mp2) to generate the coupling effect. When a positive (negative) ESD voltage in the PS-mode (ND-mode) ESD test condition is applied to the pad, the overstress voltage is coupled to the gate of Mn2 (Mp2) through the added capacitor Cn (Cp). The coupled voltage at the gate of Mn2 (Mp2) is kept longer in time by the resistor Rw2 (Rw1), therefore the unused Mn2 (Mp2) with larger device dimension in the cell layout can be triggered on to discharge the ESD current. So, the gate-coupled technique is used to turn on the Mn2 and Mp2 to discharge ESD current before the Mn1 (Mp1) is damaged by ESD. Because the Mn2 and Mp2 often have much larger device dimensions (channel width of several hundreds of micron), they can sustain a higher ESD stress. The more detailed description on the gate-coupled design is provided in the paper by *M.-D. Ker, C.-Y. Wu, and H.-H. Chang*, "Capacitor-couple ESD protection circuit for deep-submicron low-voltage CMOS ASIC," IEEE Trans. on VLSI Systems, vol. 4, no.3, pp. 307-321, Sept, 1996.

Another gate-coupled design to enhance the turn-on of Mn2 and Mp2 is shown in Fig. 4 (US Patent No. 5086365). In Fig. 4, the gate of Mn2 (Mp2) is connected to VSS (VDD) through the Mdn1 (Mdp1) device, which works as a resistor to sustain the coupled voltage in the gate of Mn2 (Mp2). Therefore, the Mn2 (Mp2) can be turned on faster than the Mn1 (Mp1). The ESD current is mainly discharged through the unused Mn2 (Mp2) with large device dimension in the I/O cells.

A more complex design, called as the dynamic-floating-gate technique, was also disclosed to improve ESD level of the I/O cells, which is shown in Fig. 5 (US Patent No. 6034552). In this design, a RC circuit is used to delay the turn-on of the Mdn1 (Mdp1), therefore the ESD-transient voltage can be coupled and held at the gate of Mn2 (Mp2) within a much longer time period. So, the Mn2 (Mp2) can be more effectively turned on to discharge the ESD current from the pad to VSS

(VDD). The more detailed principle for this design is disclosed in the paper by *H.-H. Chang, M.-D. Ker and J.-C. Wu*, "Design of dynamic-floating-gate technique for output ESD protection in deep-submicron CMOS technology," *Solid-State Electronics*, vol. 43, pp.375-393, Feb. 1999.

The manufacturing process solutions had been also invented for improving the ESD level of such I/O cells. To enhance the turn-on of Mn2, the process method with the additional ESD implantation is also provided to reduce the junction breakdown voltage of the Mn2 device, such as those disclosed in publications by, e.g., *C.-C. Hsue and J. Ko*, "Method for ESD protection improvement," US Patent No.5374565, Dec. 1994; *T. A. Lowrey and R. W. Chance*, "Static discharge circuit having low breakdown voltage bipolar clamp," US Patent No. 5581104, Dec. 1996; and *K.-Z. Chang and C.-Y. Lin*, "Method of making ESD protection device structure for low supply voltage applications," US Patent No. 5674761, Oct. 1997.

The NMOS device structure, equivalent circuit, and layout with the additional ESD-implantation method for I/O cells are shown in Figs. 6(a)-(c), respectively. In Fig.6(c), the ESD-implantation with a P+ doping concentration is implanted under the drain region of the Mn2 device, but the Mn1 is not implanted. The Mn2 drain to P-well junction with the additional P+ ESD implantation has a lower breakdown voltage. Therefore, the Mn2 can be broken down to discharge ESD current before the Mn1. The ESD current discharging path is shown by the dashed line in Fig. 6(a). To realize this purpose, an additional mask layer is used in the process, and the layout has to be drawn with this ESD-implantation layer. In the layout of Fig.6(b), the ESD-implantation regions are added at the drain regions of Mn2 fingers, but not on the Mn1 finger. Additional process steps and mask have to be added into the process flow to realize such a design.

When the CMOS technology scaled down to sub-half-micron, the voltage level of VDD in the chip is also reduced to a lower voltage level. Because the I/O signals come from external circuits of chips in a system may have different voltage levels, the high-voltage-tolerant I/O circuits are designed and used in such an interface condition. A typical 3V/5V-tolerant I/O circuit was described in M. Pelgrom and E. Dijkmans, "A 3/5V compatible I/O buffer," in IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, vol. 30, no.7, pp. 823-825, July 1995; and W. Anderson and D. Krakaauer, "ESD protection for mixed-voltage I/O using NMOS transistors staked in a cascade configuration," in Proc. Of EOS/ESD Symp., 1998, pp. 54-62.

The design methodology as taught from the above-discussed prior art is focused exclusively on the unused Mn2 in the I/O cell. Although such design methodology can improve the ESD level of the I/O library, it is costly and requires additional elements to realize the gate-coupled circuit or modifications to lower the junction breakdown voltage.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the present invention to provide a semiconductor structure for ESD protection of an integrated circuit in order to improve ESD level of the I/O cells with different driving specifications.

Another object of the present invention is to provide a semiconductor structure for improving ESD robustness of the output ESD protection NMOS/PMOS through an additional pick-up diffusion region and/or modification of channel length.

A further object of the present invention is to provide a semiconductor structure for improving ESD robustness of the input ESD protection NMOS/PMOS.

A still further object of the present invention is to provide a semiconductor structure to improve ESD robustness of the I/O cells by using different channel lengths in the I/O devices.

In accordance with the present invention, a semiconductor structure for electrostatic discharge (ESD) protection of a metal-oxide semiconductor (MOS) integrated circuit consists of a p-type substrate forming a base for the semiconductor structure, a first n-type channel formed between first N⁺ regions within the substrate for an Mn1 transistor, and a second n-type channel formed between second N⁺ regions within the substrate for an Mn2 transistor. In particular, an additional P⁺ pick-up diffusion region is disposed adjacent to the first N⁺ regions to reduce the turn-on speed of the first MOS transistor. Alternatively or in addition to the P⁺ pick-up diffusion region, the channel lengths of the first and second n-type channels can be varied such that the channel length of the first n-type channel is larger than the channel length of the second n-type channel to increase the drain breakdown voltage of the first MOS transistor.

In accordance with another aspect of the present invention, the semiconductor structure is used to protect an internal circuit, output buffer, I/O buffer, input cell, or 3V/5V-tolerant I/O cell library of the MOS integrated circuit by slowing down the turn-on speed or increasing the breakdown voltage of the output device with small driving current ability, such that the ESD-protection device with a large device dimension can be triggered on to bypass ESD current during an ESD stress event. Related aspects and advantages of the invention will become apparent and more readily appreciated from the following detailed description of the invention, taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figs. 1(a)-(c) are schematic circuit block diagrams showing conventional circuit function and device dimension of I/O cells;

Fig. 2(a) is a schematic layout diagram showing a conventional layout of I/O devices with parallel multiple fingers;

Fig. 2(b) is the schematic circuit block diagram of conventional NMOS devices in an output cell with small-driving current;

Fig. 3 is a schematic circuit block diagram showing a conventional gate-coupled technique for improving the ESD robustness of a small-driving output buffer;

Fig. 4 is a schematic circuit block diagram showing another conventional gate-coupled technique for improving the ESD robustness of a small-driving output buffer;

Fig. 5 is a schematic circuit block diagram showing a conventional dynamic-floating-gate circuit technique for improving the ESD robustness of a small-driving output buffer;

Fig. 6(a) is a schematic circuit block diagram showing a conventional ESD-implantation process technique for improving the ESD robustness of a small-driving output buffer;

Fig. 6(b) is a schematic layout diagram showing the conventional ESD-implantation process technique of Fig. 6(a);

Fig. 6(c) is a cross-sectional view schematically showing the conventional ESD-implantation process technique of Fig. 6(a);

Fig. 7(a) is a cross-sectional view schematically showing a preferred embodiment of a small-driving NMOS device in an output cell with an additional pick-up diffusion region according to the present invention;

Fig. 7(b) is a schematic circuit block diagram showing the preferred embodiment of Fig. 7(a);

Fig. 7(c) is a schematic layout diagram showing the preferred embodiment of Fig. 7(a);

Fig. 8(a) is a cross-sectional view schematically showing a preferred embodiment of a small-driving NMOS device in an output cell with different channel lengths according to the present invention;

Fig. 8(b) is a schematic circuit block diagram showing the preferred embodiment of Fig. 8(a);

Fig. 8(c) is a schematic layout diagram showing the preferred embodiment of Fig. 8(a);

Fig. 9(a) is a cross-sectional view schematically showing a preferred embodiment of a small-driving NMOS device in an output cell with different channel lengths and an additional pick-up diffusion region according to the present invention;

Fig. 9(b) is a schematic layout diagram showing the preferred embodiment of Fig. 9(a);

Fig. 10(a) is a conventional schematic circuit block diagram showing an input ESD protection NMOS circuit;

Fig. 10(b) is a schematic layout diagram showing the input ESD protection NMOS circuit of Fig. 10(a);

Fig. 11(a) is a schematic layout diagram showing the preferred embodiment of the input ESD protection NMOS circuit with different channel lengths according to the present invention;

Fig. 11(b) is a cross-sectional view schematically showing the preferred embodiment of Fig. 11(a);

Fig. 12(a) is a schematic layout diagram showing the preferred embodiment of the input ESD protection NMOS circuit with additional pick-up diffusion regions according to the present invention;

Fig. 12(b) is a cross-sectional view schematically showing the preferred embodiment of Fig. 12(a);

Fig. 13 is a schematic layout diagram showing the preferred embodiment of the input ESD protection NMOS circuit with different channel lengths and additional pick-up diffusion regions according to the present invention;

Fig. 14(a) is a schematic circuit block diagram showing a preferred embodiment of a 3V/5V-tolerant I/O cell with different channel lengths according to the present invention; and

Fig. 14(b) is a cross-sectional layout view schematically showing the preferred embodiment of Fig. 14(a).

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The present invention will now be described by way of preferred embodiments with references to the accompanying drawings. Like numerals refer to corresponding parts of various drawings.

Referring now to Figs. 7(a) and 7(b), one embodiment of the present invention is shown in which a simple layout is employed for drawing an additional P+ pick-up diffusion region 70, which surrounds one of the MOS transistors (Mn1 guarded device) 72 to reduce its parasitic base-emitter resistance. Therefore, the parasitic BJT in Mn1 has a slower turn-on speed than that of the other MOS transistor (Mn2 ESD protection device) 74. As shown in Fig. 7(b), a pre-buffer 76 with a core logic 77 is connected to the Mn1 device 72, a pad 78 is connected to the Mn1 device 72 and Mn2 device 74, and an ESD current discharging path is indicated by dash lines when the turn-on speed of

Mn1 device 72 is slowed down. A corresponding top layout view is shown in Fig. 7(c) in which a cross-sectional view along the dashed line B-B' is cross-referenced in Fig. 7(a).

The operation of the present invention as shown in Figs. 7(a)-(c) is more fully discussed hereinafter. The drain of Mn1 finger is fully surrounded by the P+ pick-up diffusion 70 (base guard ring). Therefore, the parasitic BJT in the Mn1 device 72 has a smaller equivalent base resistance (R_{sub1}) in the P-well/ P-substrate, because the distance from the base region (under the Mn1 channel region) to the grounded P+ pick-up diffusion 70 is shortest in the layout structure. The drain of Mn2 fingers are drawn without such additional pick-up diffusion region 70, and therefore the parasitic BJT in the Mn2 device 74 has a larger base resistance (R_{sub2}). When a positive ESD voltage is attached to the output pad as shown in Fig. 7(b), the drains of the Mn1 72 and Mn2 74 devices are broken down by the overstress ESD voltage to generate the breakdown current into the P-well/P-substrate. Because the parasitic BJT in the Mn2 device 74 has a larger base resistance (R_{sub2}), the Mn2 device 74 is first triggered into the snapback region (the parasitic BJT turn-on region) to clamp the overstress voltage on the output pad 78. Since the Mn2 device 74 in the layout structure has a much larger device dimension, it can sustain a much higher ESD level. On the other hand, the Mn1 device 72 with a smaller device dimension is limited to be turned on during the ESD stress, so that the Mn1 device 72 is not damaged by the ESD energy and the I/O cell has a higher ESD level.

To achieve this effect, the layout structure of the present invention incorporates the additional pick-up diffusion 70 (base guard ring) around the used Mn1 device 72, but not around the unused Mn2 device 74. The triggering on the Mn1 device 72 into a snapback region is restrained or delayed by the additional pick-up diffusion 70, and this allows enough time for the Mn2 device 74 with a relatively larger device dimension to be triggered on to discharge ESD current. If the PMOS has a

small Mp1 driving device and a larger unused Mp2 device in the I/O cell layout, the output PMOS device of the present invention can also be carried out by means of pulling up device between VDD and the output pad 78.

As shown in Figs. 8(a)-(c), another way to limit the turn-on speed of the Mn1 device 72 is to change the channel length of Mn1 device 72 and Mn2 device 74 in the I/O cell. For instance, the 0.25- μm CMOS process from Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company (TSMC) with a fixed channel width of 300 μm and a fixed drain-contact-to-polygate spacing (DGS) of 1.5 μm can produce a NMOS device with a channel length (L) of 0.3 μm or 1.0 μm . The breakdown I-V curves of NMOS devices with different channel lengths have been measured in that the NMOS device with 0.3 μm channel length has a breakdown voltage (V_{t1}) of 9V and a snapback holding voltage (V_h) of 5V, while the NMOS device with 1.0 μm channel length has a breakdown voltage (V_{t1}) of 9.7V and a snapback holding voltage (V_h) of 6.1V. The NMOS devices with different channel lengths have different breakdown voltages and snapback holding voltages. The dependence of the breakdown voltages and snapback holding voltages on the NMOS channel length are such that an NMOS device with a shorter channel length has a lower breakdown voltage (V_{t1}) and a lower snapback holding voltage (V_h), which means that it can be turned on faster than the NMOS device having a longer channel length. From this perspective, the Mn1 72 and Mn2 74 devices in the I/O cell layout with different channel lengths can be drawn to restrain the turn-on of the Mn1 device 72. The unused Mn2 device 74 with larger device dimension (channel width) is therefore drawn with a shorter channel length in the layout.

Fig. 8(a) is the device cross-sectional view of the Mn1 72 and Mn2 74 devices with different channel lengths. As shown in Fig. 8(a), the Mn1 device 72 has a longer channel length (L1) 82

relative to the channel length (L2) 84 of the Mn2 device 74. The equivalent circuit is illustrated in Fig.8(b), and the layout picture is shown in Fig.8(c). The dashed line C-C' in Fig.8(c) corresponds to that of Fig. 8(a). The channel length of the Mn1 device 72 is marked as L1 82 and that of the Mn2 device 74 is marked as L2 84. In Fig. 8(a) and Fig.8(c), the channel length L1 82 is obviously greater than L2 84 in the layout and device cross-sectional view.

As shown in Fig. 8(b), the Mn1 device 72 has a longer channel length (L1) than that of the Mn2 device in the layout. Therefore, the drain breakdown voltage of Mn1 device 72 is greater than that of the Mn2 device 74 which means that during an ESD stress condition, the Mn2 device 74 with a lower breakdown voltage is triggered on to bypass the ESD current before Mn1 device 72 is turned on.

Additionally, in the semiconductor structure as shown in Fig. 8(a)-(c), the Mn2 device 74 with $L2 < L1$ is triggered to enter its snapback region and discharge ESD current before the Mn1 device 72 is triggered on. As a result, the turn-on speed of the Mn1 device 72 is restrained according to different channel lengths in the layout structure.

Figs.9(a) and 9(b) show a combination which includes the different channel lengths and the additional pick-up diffusion region to restrain the turn-on speed of the Mn1 device. The PMOS device of I/O cell can be also used by the present invention to restrain the turn-on of Mp1 (with smaller device dimension). The unused Mp2 with a larger device dimension has a relative longer time period to turn on and discharge the ESD current. Therefore, the overall ESD level of the I/O cell with small output current specification can be effectively improved.

One of the preferred embodiments with different channel lengths on the Mn1 device has been used in an in-house 0.5 μ m bi-directional I/O cell B001H, which has a smaller output current driving

ability of only 1mA. The layout view of NMOS part in the I/O cell of this 1-mA cell is shown in Fig.8(c). The finger of the Mn1 device used for output current has a channel length of 3.2 μ m, but those of the unused device (Mn2) have a channel length of only 0.6 μ m. The Mn1 device in Fig.8(c) has a channel width of 38 μ m, but the Mn2 device has a total channel width of 266 μ m in the layout.

5 With restrained layout on the Mn1 device, the ESD current is mainly discharged by the Mn2 device with a larger device dimension. This invention is also applied to draw the PMOS layout of the same cell. The Mp1, which provides the output current of 1mA, has a channel length of 3.0 μ m. By contrast, the Mp2 in the same cell layout has a channel of 0.6 μ m (i.e. a difference of 2.4 μ m). The channel width of PMOS device Mp1 is 44 μ m, and that of PMOS device Mp2 is 396 μ m in the B001H cell layout. By restraining to turn on and discharge the ESD current, the overall HBM ESD level of the I/O cell can be effectively improved from 1kV to greater than 4kV.

The present invention can be also applied to improve the ESD level of the pure input cell, which has multiple fingers placed in parallel in the layout. The typical input cell used in the I/O cell library is shown in Fig. 10(a), where the layout of NMOS of the pure input cell is drawn in Fig.10(b).

15 All gates of the parallel fingers in the layout are connected to a ground (VSS_I/O) through a resistor Rw2 102 in Fig.10(a) or directly connected to ground to turn off the NMOS device. Similar layout style is also used to realize the Mp2 104 device in the input cell. This layout style has been generally and widely used in CMOS IC'S.

20 Although the fingers in the NMOS layout of Fig.10(b) is very symmetrical, the fingers are still hard to be uniformly turned on by the ESD current under ESD stress. The photo-emission microscope (EMMI) picture of the NMOS in the input cell shows that during the ESD stress condition, only several fingers located at the center of the NMOS layout are turned on to discharge the ESD current.

Therefore, the NMOS has a lower ESD level even if the total channel width in the layout is large enough. Only few fingers at the layout center region are triggered into the snapback region, which has a lower holding voltage of 5V than the breakdown voltage of 9V. The most others can be triggered on when the pad voltage is greater than the drain breakdown voltage (9V). A few of turned-on fingers clamp the voltage level on the pad to the holding voltage of 5V to limits the other fingers to be continually triggered on by the ESD voltage. The channel region of the center fingers in the layout of Fig. 10(b) has a far spacing to the pick-up diffusion. Therefore, the parasitic BJT of the center fingers has a larger base resistance (R_{sub}). With a larger base resistance, the parasitic BJT of the center fingers is turned on faster than that of fingers which close to the two sides, which causes a non-uniform turn-on behavior. When only few center fingers are triggered on to discharge ESD current, the input cell often has a low ESD level even if it has a total large enough device dimension on the NMOS layout.

The non-uniform turn-on behavior in Fig. 10(a) can be overcome with turn-on restrained layout on the center fingers of the input cell. The layout structure with different channel lengths on the input NMOS is shown in Fig. 11(a). The corresponding device structure along the dashed line F-F' in Fig. 11(a) is shown in Fig. 11(b). In Figs. 11(a) and (b), the channel length L_1 of the center fingers are wider than the channel length L_2 of the edge fingers. By suitably adjusting the channel length in layout to compensate the difference on the turn-on speed of the center fingers, the multiple fingers of the input NMOS can be uniformly triggered on. Therefore, the input cell has a much higher ESD level, and the fingers in the layout are all turned on to discharge ESD current. Of course, the present invention can be also applied to the input PMOS (M_{p2} in Fig. 10(a)), which is often drawn in the same layout style.

To compensate for the base resistance effect, the additional pick-up diffusion regions 122 in Fig. 12(b) are also used to surround the center fingers in the NMOS layout of the input cell, as that shown in Figs.12(a) and (b). The device cross-sectional view along the dashed line G-G's in Fig.12(a) is shown in Fig. 12(b). With the additional pick-up diffusion region 122, the center fingers have a lower base resistance. Therefore the parasitic BJT of the center fingers have a slower turn-on speed than before. By using the restrained turn-on method on the center fingers of the NMOS (or PMOS) layout, the turn-on uniformity among the multiple fingers of input cell can be effectively improved to sustain a higher ESD level.

In Fig.13, the center fingers are drawn with both the wider channel length and the additional pick-up diffusion region. By suitably adjusting these two new inventions, this can better restrain the center finger turn-on speed to achieve an overall better ESD performance.

When the CMOS technology scaled down to sub-half-micron regime, the voltage level of VDD in the chip is also reduced to a lower voltage level, such as 3.3V, 2.5V, or 1.8V for core circuits. However, the I/O signal come from external circuits of chips in a system may have different voltage levels, which may be greater than VDD of the chip. Therefore, the high-voltage-tolerant I/O circuits are designed and used in such an interface condition. A typical 3V/5V-tolerant I/O circuit is shown in Fig.14(a), where the NMOS from the pad 140 to VSS often has stacked device configuration. Such high-voltage-tolerant I/O cells in a cell library also have different output current specifications, so the stacked NMOS (Mn1a and Mn1b) devices may have a smaller device dimension for the cell with smaller output current driving ability. In the cell layout, the unused fingers of stacked NMOS (Mn2a and Mn2b devices in Fig.14(a)) in the I/O cell with small output current are turned off in function but also work as the ESD protection device. To avoid the gate coupling effect that causes

a low ESD level on such a 3V/5V-tolerant I/O cell, the turn-on restrained method can be also applied on the Mn1a 146 and Mn1b 148 NMOS layout as that shown in Fig.14(b). The polygate (channel length) of the Mn1a 146 device is drawn with a wider width to restrain the turn-on of stacked Mn1a 146 and Mn1b 148 devices in the layout. Therefore, the Mn2a 142 and Mn2b 144 devices with smaller channel length can be turned on to discharge the ESD current. Because the stacked Mn2a 142 and Mn2b 144 devices have a larger device dimension (channel width), they can sustain a higher ESD level by further restraining the turn-on speed of the stacked Mn1a 146 and Mn1b 148 devices. The additional pick-up diffusion can be also used to surround these stacked Mn1a. If such 3V/5V-tolerant I/O cell is only used as input, where the gate of the Mn1a and Mn1b devices are all connected to ground, the center fingers of the stacked NMOS layout can be drawn with a wider channel length or surrounded by the additional pick-up diffusion to restrain the turn-on speed of the center fingers. Then, the overall ESD level of such a 3V/5V-tolerant input cell can be effectively improved due to the uniform turn-on behavior among the multiple fingers in parallel in the I/O cell layout.

Although a specific form of the present invention has been described above and illustrated in the accompanying drawings in order to be more clearly understood, the above description is made by way of example and not as a limitation to the scope of the present invention. It is believed that various modifications apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art could be made without departing from the scope of the present invention which is to be determined by the following claims.